

Date: _____
 Teams: _____ Plaintiff
 _____ Defendant

2007 CARRIGAN CUP

Instructions: Scoring scale: 1=Poor 2=Average 3=Good 4=Very Good 5=Excellent (reserve for exceptional performances)

Fill in every unshaded block. Do not use fractions or half points. Choose a team in the tiebreaker category.
 Scoring should be independent of your views of the merits of the case. The maximum number of points per team is 80.

	Evaluation Criteria	Plaintiff/ Prosecution	Defendant	Comments
Opening Statement	Poise, articulation, voice inflection			
	Clear, concise, persuasive, reliance on notes			
Plaintiff 1st Witness	Direct/redirect: poise, articulation, flow			
	Direct/redirect: elicited relevant facts			
	Cross/recross exam: form, control of witness			
	Cross/recross exam: effectiveness			
Plaintiff 2nd Witness	Direct/redirect: poise, articulation, flow			
	Direct/redirect: elicited relevant facts			
	Cross/recross exam: form, control of witness			
	Cross/recross exam: effectiveness			
Defense 1st Witness	Direct/redirect exam: poise, articulation, flow			
	Direct/redirect exam: elicited relevant facts			
	Cross/recross exam: form, control of witness			
	Cross/recross exam: effectiveness			
Defense 2nd Witness	Direct/redirect exam: poise, articulation, flow			
	Direct/redirect exam: elicited relevant facts			
	Cross/recross exam: form, control of witness			
	Cross/recross exam: effectiveness		Defense closes first	
Closing Argument	Poise, articulation, voice inflection			
	Clear, concise, persuasive, reliance on notes			
Team Evaluation	Objections, response to objections			
	Knowledge of rules			
	Overall effectiveness and cohesiveness			
	Case construction: way case theory was implemented			
TOTAL POINTS				

Additional Comments (here and on back):

**TIEBREAKER You MUST Circle One:
 Plaintiff/Defendant**